Southern Campaigns American Revolution Pension Statements and Rosters

Pension Application of Thomas Langlee R20394 Transcribed and annotated by C. Leon Harris.

For the purpose of obtaining the benefits of an act, entitled "an act for the relief of certain surviving Officers and Soldiers of the Army of the Revolution," approved on the 15th of May 1828, I, Thomas Langlee, a native of Monmouth County in the State of New Jersey, and at present resident of the County of Hamilton in the State of Ohio do hereby declare that I was a soldier during the Revolutionary War. That I first served in the Malitia and was in the Battles of Bunker Hill [17 Jun 1775] and of Monmouth [28 Jun 1778], and about three days after the latter battle, I enlisted in the regular army and served under Count Pulaski until his death as a light horseman [mortally wounded during the Siege of Savannah on 9 Oct 1779]; That after the death of County Pulaski I continued to serve until I received a regular discharge, which discharge was signed by Col. Cowatts [sic: Michel Kowats, killed near Charleston SC, 11 May 1779] and Capt. Bedkins [Henry Bedken] – but thinking the discharge of no consequence, I have long since lost it.

I also declare that I have never rec'd any pension or money from the U. States for my Revolutionary services since my discharge, in any shape whatever.

I also declare that I applied several ago for a pension by my agent John Lee Williams Esq'r but failed in obtaining it, as I was informed, in consequence of my not being able to find a witness to prove my actual service in a particular regiment.

I also declare that I am a very poor man and with great difficulty make even a necessary support for my family. I also declare that I shall be seventy five years of age in October next, if I should live until that period.

Witness my hand this third day of September eighteen hundred and twenty nine

it is written poorly and spelled "Thomm. Langely." See http://revwarapps.org/b222.pdf, p 16.

NOTE: Langlee's application was rejected because his name was not found on the rolls, which meant that he was required to provide testimony by two eyewitnesses, which he could not do. In fact, however, Langlee's name is probably on the payroll for Capt. Henry Bedken's company dated 19 March 1779, but